

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,328	11/18/2003		Richard A. Terwilliger	WORLD-01004US2	5231	
23910	7590	05/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER				VENIAMINO	VENIAMINOV, NIKITA R	
SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3736	<u>.</u> .	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/716,328	TERWILLIGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nikita R Veniaminov	3736	•

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	ng replies: (1) an amendment, af e of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evidenc compliance with 37 CFI	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires $\frac{1}{2}$ inditials from the mailing date of this Adv		in the final rejection, which	hever is later In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706		E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	4		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cons 			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)		71 L Delow),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co	rresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116	-	•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	* **	ompliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	· · · · · · · · ·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	wable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 5, 6 and 8-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but o	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P 13. Other:	TO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: By eliminating in claim 1 the phrases "creating a treatment strand by" in line 5 and "at intervals on the material, wherein at least some of the intervals can be independently set to a desired length" in lines 9-10, Applicant raised issues that requires prior art search.

MAX F. HINDENBURG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700